General Guidelines for Abstract Submissions
The 32nd Annual Convention of the National Academy of Psychology (NAOP)

All abstracts should be submitted via the online abstract submission system.

All abstracts must be submitted and presented in English.

● When submitting the abstract, the author can choose the preferred presentation method and two relevant divisions or special tracks. The scientific committee will decide on the presentation method and about which division/special track the abstract will be presented in. You can submit more than one abstract in the same abstract category (format and divisions/special tracks) or different abstract categories.

● The corresponding author and presenting author must be identified when submitting an abstract.

● Authors may modify the saved draft but further changes cannot be made after the submission. Please ensure that your abstract does not contain spelling, grammar, or scientific mistakes, as it will be reproduced exactly as it is.

Presentation Mode

Oral Presentation: Duration - 15 minutes.
Brief Oral Presentation: Duration - 5 minutes.
Poster: Please follow the given format of the poster.
Symposium: Duration - Not more than 90 minutes. There must be at least four presentations and/or discussion sections under one topic. The author who submits the abstract is responsible for forwarding all received information to other authors. The presenting author must be registered for the Convention.

Abstract Requirement

For individual submissions

Title of presentation: Please avoid abbreviations in the title. Abbreviations may be used in the text if they are defined when first used.
Abstract:
Please choose a brief title (a maximum of 100 characters) that clearly indicates the content of the abstract. The abstract must not exceed 250 words. The abstract should include objectives, methods, main results, and conclusion of the study. The abstract should be text only (no figure or image).
Keywords: Please indicate 3-5 keywords for your abstract.

For Symposia Submissions

The symposium organiser should submit the symposia abstract on behalf of all presenting authors. The symposium abstract should follow the requirement of individual submissions. The symposium organiser should also submit a supplementary file which contains information of each presentation,

including the major theme of the symposium. Do not submit the Symposium Proposal if you do not have all presentations from your symposium participants.

Review Procedure

The abstract will be reviewed by two reviewers from the division that the abstract is submitted to. The division review manager will make the decision recommendation to the Scientific Committee of NAOP which reserves the right to accept or refuse the submission, and also holds the final decision on the method of presentation. There are no revision opportunities. However, a refused abstract can be revised and resubmitted as a new abstract.

The reviewer will score the abstract based on the following criteria:
1. Overall Quality (Originality, readability, question/objective clearly described, etc.)
2. Scientific/ Professional Value (Importance of the knowledge, idea, evidence, solution, issue, recommendation for science, and practice in applied psychology)
3. Relevance (Whether the submission has either academic, societal, practical, scientific, or professional relevance)

- Academic Relevance – The extent up to which the research performed by the investigators on specific topics assists in achieving the desired objectives.
- Societal Relevance – The extent up to which the information gathered through research or discussion helps in developing understanding about society.
- Practical Relevance – The degree up to which the findings of the research can be applied to real-life situations.
- Scientific Relevance – The degree up to which you can fill a gap in knowledge by performing research on a particular topic.
- Professional Relevance – The degree up to which the outcome of a research or discussion may help to improve the quality of professional or service activities.

4. Overall appropriateness for inclusion in the programme.

28 inch

TITLE OF THE STUDY
Author's Name and Affiliations

22 inch

Abstract	Method	Findings
	Tools used	Tables
Background Information		
		Discussion and Conclusions